1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON	
2			
3			
4			
5			
67	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
8	JULIA REIN, et al.,	CASE NO. C14-5125 RBL	
10	Plaintiffs, v.	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	
11 12	NORTHWEST MORTGAGE GROUP, INC, et al.,	[DKT. # 32]	
13	Defendants.		
14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff Bryant's Motion for Leave to Proceed <i>in</i>		
15	forma pauperis [Dkt. #32].		
16 17	A district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon		
18	completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The court has broad		
19	discretion in resolving the application, but "the privilege of proceeding in forma pauperis in civil		
20			
21			
22	in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or without merit." Tripati v. First Nat'l Bank & Trust, 821 F.2d 1368, 1369		
23 24	(9th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). An in forma pauperis		

1	complaint is frivolous if "it ha[s] no arguable substance in law or fact." <i>Id.</i> (citing <i>Rizzo v</i> .	
2	Dawson, 778 F.2d 527, 529 (9th Cir. 1985); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228 (9th Cir.	
3	1984).	
4	This case was filed in state court by the plaintiffs, and removed to this court by the	
5	defendants [Dkt. #1]. The primary benefit of IFP status is that the plaintiff does not have to pay	
6	the filing fee. He also may obtain court assistance in serving his complaint.	
7	In this case, the filing fee has already been paid, by the Defendants, and Bryant has	
8	apparently already served them. Nevertheless, the Bryant appears to be indigent and the Motion	
9	to Proceed IFP is GRANTED.	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated this 19 th day of March, 2014.	
12		
13	RONALD B. LEIGHTON	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		